

## Presentation by KCSRA to MSWG on 27 October 2016

For the last meeting at which MSWG was to discuss the MPI Draft Advice Paper KCSRA went to a great deal of effort to prepare in a very short time frame a detailed Response Paper proposing a new set of Notes and Recommendations. KCSRA believes they are legitimate outcomes from this process. That paper was not referred to or discussed at that meeting.

In response to the latest amended MPI Draft Advice paper on behalf of KCSRA I advised KCSRA would prepare a further paper. Unfortunately the excessive time pressures and constraints which have so effectively hindered more detailed responses to the MPI strategy in the course of this process meant we were unable to complete that in time.

However upon review KCSRA believes the KCSRA Notes and Recommendations are still relevant and I intend to address them now. They are set out below.

### KCSRA alternative notes and recommendations of

KCSRA believes a more realistic and supportable set of notes and recommendations arising from the MSWG would be along the following lines;

#### The MSWG:

**Notes** that it was unfortunate that the agreed terms of reference with its aims of improving management practices in existing NZKS farms was pushed to one side by MPI in favour of focussing on assessing alternative sites.

**Notes** that it was most unfortunate that that much of the MPI supplied expert reports and material was sourced from consultants with a history of assisting/advocating for NZKS at the likes of the 2012 Board of Inquiry. This aspect was further compounded by the absence of independent expert review in a number of key areas that seriously weakened the likelihood of MPI achieving its desire to have the MSWG agree to a set of farm relocation sites.

**Notes** that the large volume of material, often supplied at the last minute but with the expectation that MSWG members would nevertheless be expected to provide meaningful comment made for an unhelpful and time pressured environment that was not conducive to supporting positive outcomes.

**Notes** that there is no substantive case for putting forward the three Tier 2 proposed sites and **Recommends** that these be dropped out of any proposed public consultation process.

**Notes** that the MSWG process raised serious legal questions as to the wisdom of including the two Tier 1 sites located in the Waitata reach area which MPI have failed to address and **Recommends** that these should issues be comprehensively addressed and discussed before being put up as potential relocation sites in any proposed public consultation.

**Notes** that the 2 Tier 1 Waitata Reach sites raise substantive issues for public and commercial stakeholders ( proximity to scalloping and fishing areas) and **Recommends** that these be further investigated and discussed before being put up as potential relocation sites in any proposed public consultation.

**Notes** the lack of substantive discussion as to how existing NZKS farm sites could be managed on a more sustainable basis in line with BMP guidelines and **Recommends** that NZKS, MPI and MDC with independent experts ( such as Professor Black) and other stake holders be directed to work to achieve this outcome.

Hanneke Kroon - KCSRA MSWG Representative