To: Salmon Farm Expansion Ministry for Primary Industries Private Bag 14 Port Nelson 7042

Email before 5pm, Monday 27 March2017 to: aquaculture.submissions@mpi.govt.nz

Submission on proposed use of Section 360A of the RMA to allow massive expansion of salmon farming in the Marlborough Sounds

Name of Submitter in full								
Address								
Email								
Telephone (day)		Mobile						
Salmon Farms i I would like to s	I am against the whole Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI) proposal for "Potential Relocation of Salmon Farms in the Marlborough Sounds" I would like to speak to my written submission at a public hearing in I do not want to speak to my written submission at a public hearing							

To the Marlborough Salmon Farm Relocation Advisory Panel and Minister Nathan Guy:

I am writing to express my dismay about Minister Nathan Guy's proposal to overrule the Marlborough District Council's (MDC) plan and allow for up to six new salmon farms in areas prohibited for aquaculture in the Marlborough Sounds.

The MDC's State of the Environment Report 2015 noted that:

- The Marlborough Sounds biodiversity is NOT in good shape.
- The issues include: fewer fish, not as many species, serious loss of biogenic habitats, sedimentation in estuaries and biosecurity incursions.

The Marlborough Sounds needs proposals for protection and restoration of its natural environment and marine ecosystem, **NOT** proposals for further exploitation and degradation such as this one.

It is submitted that the aim of this MPI proposal, thinly disguised as salmon-farming relocation, is in fact a proposal for the massive expansion of salmon farming in the Waitata Reach area of the Pelorus Sound.

If successful it will mean a cluster of 7 farms in Waitata Reach. It will mean 2 to 3 times more waste discharge spread over a wider benthic footprint. It will mean greater adverse cumulative impacts on the water column.

The Marlborough Sounds needs, we submit, more extensive Marine Reserves, **NOT** more Salmon Farms on an industrial scale as is now proposed by MPI and New Zealand King Salmon (**NZKS**).

The Board of Inquiry drew the limits

In 2012 NZKS applied for nine new salmon farms in areas prohibited for salmon farming via a Board of Inquiry process. They were ultimately allowed three farms. The Board of Inquiry, and then the Supreme Court, made a number of very important findings, which, it is submitted; this proposal is attempting to ride rough shod over.

It is submitted that this is a blatant attempt to try and achieve for NZKS what it failed to get last time around. This time it is being done under the cloak of a relocation scheme. It is submitted that this is a relocation is factually wrong. Two of the salmon farms to be "relocated" do not in fact exist – there has been no salmon farming on the sites for at least five years.

Once again, MPI and NZKS are trying to put new salmon farm sites into outstanding natural landscapes and, it is submitted, ignoring the legal requirements of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement and the adverse cumulative impacts on the this iconic landscape.

This proposal, we submit, ignores the Board of Inquiry finding a threshold limit of two new farms in the Waitata Reach and that the Environment Court subsequently echoed this.

The best Place for Salmon Farming?

The existing NZKS operations are suffering from regular (4 in the last 5 years) unusual mortality events. There is a Controlled Area Notice under the Biosecurity Act in place as a result. Pathogens new to NZ have been discovered in the dead salmon.

We submit that the science shows that 17 degrees Celsius is the maximum sustainable temperature for salmon farming, above this trigger the fish become stressed and vulnerable to disease. MDC records show that the Waitata Reach of the Pelorus Sound has summer seawater temperatures exceeding 17 degrees for long periods. These adverse environmental factors combined with poor management practices is, we submit, demonstrated by these regular significant salmon mortality events.

Instead of allocating clean unspoiled water space for new farms and closing old farms, real pressure should be put on NZKS to operate these existing farms in accordance with Best Management Practice Guidelines. It can be done we submit.

Rather, MPI and NZKS seem to be arguing that the prospect of more jobs and profit justifies ignoring adverse cumulative environmental effects in this iconic public space. This so called MPI report is, we submit, paid for by NZKS using an expert who has a history of working for that company. A truly independent review of this report will, like last time, we submit, show these claims are greatly inflated.

This approach quite wrongly, we submit, gives no credence to the adverse impacts on; endangered species such as the King Shag, recreational users, navigation issues, tourism, and struggling nearby scallop beds.

(Other Comments:								

Conclusion: This proposal is fundamentally flawed, environmentally unsustainable and should not proceed!